# Analysis and interpretation of excess molar properties of amphiphile  $+$  water systems Part 3. Excess molar volumes of isopropoxyethanol + water and isobutoxyethanol + water

Michael I. Davis and Monica Chacon

*Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso, TX 79968 (USA)* **(Recetved 8 March 1991)** 

## **Abstract**

Excess molar volumes at  $25^{\circ}$ C, are reported for the systems  $150P<sub>T</sub>$  superposite and **1soBuOEtOH + water across their entire composition ranges. These data have been compared**  to those for their normal alkyl analogs in order to assess the effects of both  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -chain **branchmg.** 

**The results are discussed m terms of the relative abihties of the ampmphiles to form structured aggregates.** 

## **INTRODUCTION**

In an earlier article [l], we described some significant differences between the excess molar volumes and excess molar enthalpies of the **1-propanol +**  water and 2-propanol + water systems. Excess molar volumes for the pro**poxyethanol +** water [2] and butoxyethanol + water [3-51 systems are available m the literature.

We thought that it would be interesting to see if the same type of difference encountered in the excess molar volumes of the two isomeric **propanol +** water mixtures is also evident in the two isomeric 2-propoxyethanol + water systems. We also wished to establish whether a similar situation exists for the excess molar volumes of butoxyethanol + water and isobutoxyethanol + water.

The densities of **isopropoxyethanol +** water and isobutoxyethanol + water have been measured at  $25^{\circ}$ C. This article describes our findings and discusses their probable implications.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

# *Materials*

Samples of isopropoxyethanol and isobutoxyethanol were obtain from Tokyo Kasei Chemical Corporation. The claimed purities were 99% and 98%



Excess molar volumes of isopropoxyethanol + water at  $25^{\circ}$ C (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)

respectively. The materials were used directly from the manufacturers containers without further purification. The densities of the pure substances were found to be 0.89942 g cm<sup>-3</sup> for isopropoxyethanol and 0.88711 g cm<sup>-3</sup> for isobutoxyethanol.



Fig. 1. Excess molar volumes of isopropoxyethanol + water at  $25^{\circ}$ C (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>).

TABLE 1

Aqueous mixtures were prepared by weight, using distilled-deionized water.

#### *Densimetry*

The densities of isopropoxyethanol  $+$  water and isobutoxyethanol  $+$  water mixtures were measured using a SODEV Model 03-D high precision flow densimeter [6]. The temperature was maintained at  $25.00 \pm 0.02$ °C by

#### TABLE<sub>2</sub>

$X_{\rm A}$	$\overline{V^E}$	$X_{\rm A}$	$\overline{V^{\mathrm{E}}}$	$X_A$	$\overline{V^E}$
0.00000	0.0000	0.03366	$-0.2446$	0.1761	$-0.6126$
0.00038	$-0.0035$	0.0353	$-0.2628$	0.2260	$-0.6909$
0.00042	$-0.0040$	00368	$-0.2762$	0.2382	$-06996$
0.00056	$-0.0053$	0.0377	$-0.2691$	0.2424	$-0.7075$
0.00063	$-0.0058$	00379	$-0.2781$	02937	$-0.7544$
0.00073	$-00071$	0.0424	$-0.2871$	0.3396	$-0.7775$
0.00074	$-0.0070$	0.0425	$-0.2860$	0.4113	$-0.7883$
0.00096	$-0.0092$	0.0447	$-0.2936$	04256	$-0.7935$
0 00101	$-0.0095$	0.0466	$-0.2987$	0.4580	$-0.7946$
0.00118	$-0.0114$	00493	$-0.3070$	0.4586	$-0.7794$
0 00126	$-0.0122$	0.0515	$-0.3211$	0.4850	$-0.7646$
0.00275	$-0.0279$	0.0524	$-0.3149$	0.5126	$-0.7544$
0.00279	$-0.0280$	00569	$-0.3236$	0.5167	$-0.7500$
0 00286	$-0.0290$	0.0610	$-0.3400$	0.5565	$-0.7127$
0.00324	$-0.0330$	0.0649	$-0.3532$	05865	$-0.6916$
0.00327	$-0.0332$	00669	$-0.3552$	0.6955	$-05480$
0 00340	$-0.0346$	0.0669	$-0.3561$	07272	$-0.5028$
0.00354	$-0.0361$	0.0671	$-0.3615$	0.7421	$-04866$
0 00390	$-0.0398$	0.0703	$-0.3681$	0.7464	$-0.4748$
0.00397	$-0.0405$	0.0703	$-0.3610$	0.7754	$-0.4255$
0.00442	$-0.0454$	0.0740	$-0.3784$	0.7912	$-0.4045$
0.00467	$-0.0480$	0.0787	$-0.3914$	0.8000	$-0.3708$
0.00497	$-0.0511$	0.0824	$-0.4014$	0.8392	$-0.3130$
0.00533	$-0.0553$	00847	$-0.4162$	08478	$-0.2807$
0.00820	$-0.0837$	0.0922	$-0.4313$	0.8538	$-0.2861$
0.01002	$-0.1080$	0.1022	$-0.4436$	0.8946	$-0.2012$
0.01438	$-0.1534$	0.1107	$-0.4664$	0.8960	$-0.2049$
001920	$-0.1962$	0.1119	$-0.4755$	0.9154	$-0.1716$
0.01962	$-0.1999$	0.1210	$-0.4984$	0.9305	$-0.1525$
0.01968	$-0.1868$	0.1396	$-0.5383$	0.9386	$-0.1236$
0.01983	$-01902$	0.1417	$-0.5374$	0.9511	$-0.0900$
0.02465	$-0.2254$	0.1425	$-0.5457$	0.9792	$-0.0361$
0.02848	$-0.2330$	01484	$-0.5560$	0.9936	$-0.0194$
003060	$-0.2552$	0.1739	$-0.6202$	1.0000	0.0000

Excess molar volumes of isobutoxyethanol at  $25^{\circ}$ C (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)



Fig. 2 Excess molar volumes of isobutoxyethanol + water at  $25^{\circ}$ C (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)

means of a SODEV Model CT-L programmable circulating thermostat. The density values were converted to excess molar volumes.

# **RESULTS**

The excess molar volumes of **isopropoxyethanol +** water mixtures are given in Table 1. The data are shown graphically in Fig. 1.

The excess molar volumes of isobutoxyethanol  $+$  water mixtures are given in Table 2. The data are shown graphically in Fig. 2.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Our interest is not so much with the individual excess molar property data sets as with the comparisons that can be made between them and those for other closely related systems. In ref. 1, a comparison was made between the excess molar volumes of l-PrOH + water and 2-PrOH + water. Certain significant differences were found in that instance and it is obviously of some interest to see if such differences also exist between **propoxyethanol +**  water and isopropoxyethanol + water. The relevent data are shown in Fig. 3. The curves shown are cubic splines fits to the original data. The  $1$ -PrOH + water data are taken from ref. 7. The 2-PrOH + water data is from ref. 1 and the PrOEtOH  $+$  water data from ref. 2.

We have chosen to concentrate on the water-rich region of the data curves, as it is here that the differences between the four data sets show up most clearly. There are two major trends to be discerned. The excess molar volumes of the iso species are more negative than their normal counterparts.



Fig. 3 Comparison of the excess molar volumes of 1-PrOH + water ( $\bullet$ ); 2-PrOH + water ( $\circ$ ); **PrOEtOH** + water ( $\bullet$ ); and 1soPrOEtOH + water ( $\diamond$ ) at 25<sup>°</sup>C (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>)

Addition of an  $(OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)$  group leads to a more negative set of excess molar volumes. In addition, the change in the slope  $(dV^E/dX_A)$  in the vicinity of  $X_A = 0.06$  appears to be more pronounced for the normal isomers of each pair.

Both n-butanol and isobutanol have miscibility gaps which range from  $X_A \approx 0.018$  to  $X_A \approx 0.5$ . As a consequence, we cannot provide a  $C_A$  analog to Fig. 3. What we can do is generate a comparison of  $PrOEOH + water$ , isoPrOEtOH + water and their  $C_4$  analogs. This is shown in Fig. 4. The cubic-splines-interpolated curve for BuOEtOH + water is derived from a combination of the data of refs. 3-5. The most striking feature of this Fig. is the strong similarity between the data profiles of the two **butoxyethanol +**  water data curves, which is in sharp contrast to the differences between the two **propoxyethanol +** water curves. Evidently, branching of the alkyl chain at the  $\beta$  carbon has significantly less impact upon the composition dependence of the excess thermodynamic properties than does branching at the  $\alpha$ carbon.

We note, in addition the crossover of the PrOEtOH + water and BuO-EtOH + water curves. At low amphiphile mole fractions, the BuOEtOH + water excess molar volumes are the more negative. Put in simple terms, the addition of a CH, group introduces a negative group contribution to  $V<sup>E</sup>$ . At higher amphiphile mole fractions, the BuOEtOH + water excess molar volumes are distinctly less negative.

#### **SUMMARY**

**There are a number of simple conclusions that one may draw from consideration of Figs.** 3 and 4. These concern the effects of different types of



Fig 4 Comparison of the excess molar volumes of PrOEtOH + water ( $\bullet$ ), isoPrOEtOH + water (o); BuOEtOH + water ( $\bullet$ ); and 1soBuOEtOH + water ( $\diamond$ ) at 25<sup>°</sup>C (cm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>).

molecular structural modification of the amphiphile on the composition dependence of the thermodynamc properties of its aqueous mixtures.

There are three types of structural modification that may occur.

(1) Extension of the alkyl chain for species with a common polar head group.

(2) Enlargement of the polar head group, by the addition of an  $OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  unit, for species with a common alkyl chain.

(3) Branching of the alkyl chain among isomeric species.

For the amphiphiles with which we are concerned, there appear to be analogs of the critical micelle concentration (cmc). These occur at  $X_A \approx 0.06$ for the C<sub>3</sub> species and at  $X_A \approx 0.02$  for the C<sub>4</sub> species. These values are consistent with the formula for cmc values given by Digiorgio for alkyl poly(ethylene glycol) monoether  $(C_m E_n)$  + water systems [8].

Below the cmc analogs, the excess molar volumes become progressively more negative with extension of the alkyl chain and addition of further  $OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  groups. Branching of the alkyl chain also results in a decrease in the excess molar volumes.

Above the cmc analogs, extension of the alkyl chain leads to less negative excess molar volumes. Increasing the number of  $OC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  groups leads to more negative excess molar volumes. Branching of the alkyl chain at the  $\alpha$ carbon, as in 2-PrOH and isoPrOEtOH, results in a substantial decrease m the excess molar volumes. Branching at the  $\beta$  carbon, as in isoBuOEtOH appears to have only a modest effect.

In a recent article [9], a rationale was given for identifying the composition range with greater amphiphile content than the cmc analog, as one in which there is a tendency for the amphiphilic species to form metastable micelle-like aggregates. This is believed to account for the significant changes in the slope  $(dV^E/dX_A)$  in the vicinity of the cmc analogs. That those changes of slope are much less pronounced in the 2-propanol + water and 1soPrOEtOH + water systems is taken as evidence that  $\alpha$  branching is an impediment to ordered aggregation. No such impediment appears to result from  $\beta$  branching.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are indebted to the National Institutes of Health for aid in the form of an institutional Minority Biomedical Research Support Grant (RR 08012).

## **REFERENCES**

- 1 H.I. Daws and E. Ham, 190 (1991) 251.
- 2 G. Roux, Int. Data Ser B., (1982) 50.
- 3 G. Roux, Int. Data Ser. B., (1982) 52
- 4 G. Douheret and A. Pal, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 33 (1988) 40.
- 5 M I Davis, M C. Molina and G. Douhéret, Thermochim. Acta, 131 (1988) 153
- 6 P. Picker, E. Tremblay and C Johcouer, J. Solution Chem., 3 (1974) 377
- 7 G C Benson and 0. &yohara, J Solution Chem., 9 (1980) 791.
- 8 Y Digiorgio, in V Digiorgio and M. Conti (Eds.), Physics of Amphiphiles, Micelles, Vesuzles and Microemulslons, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1985, p. 303.
- 9 M.I. Davis and G. Douheret, Thermochun. Acta, 188 (1991) 229.